



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,361	03/26/2004	Peter Heiland	2133.031USU 1260		
759	90 11/17/2005	EXAMINER			
Charles N. J. Ruggiero, Esq.			UNDERWOOD, DONALD W		
Ohlandt, Greele	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark Square			3652		
Stamford, CT	06901-2682	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/810,36	1	HEILAND ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Donald Un	derwood	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of References Cited (P1O-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

In the specification, page 9, line 16, "bottom" should be --top--; page 12, line 24, "116a" should be --115a--; page 17, line 11, "wholes" should be --holes--.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the controller is constructed to control the transporters as claimed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrases "tong-like", "disc-like" and "adapted to provide" render the claim indefinite since they fail to provide definite structure. The claim should set forth definite structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,361

Art Unit: 3652

Regarding claims 2 and 5, these claims are indefinite since the elements presented therein are not positively correlated with the tong-like members in claim 1. Further "elevation contrivance" in claim 5 is indefinite since it is unclear what structure it includes.

Regarding claim 4, it appears "hold" in the last line should be --release--.

Clarification is required.

Regarding claim 10, the phrase "tong-like arms comprise a tong-like structure" appears redundant. It is unclear what structure is being claimed.

Regarding claim 14, "means for controlling movement" should be correlated with "driving-mechanism" in claim 1. At present it appears to be a double inclusion of structure.

Regarding claim 15, it appears --said-- should be inserted before "exchange' in line 5 and --a--, before "second' in line 6. Also the phases "disk-like members" and "disk-like member" are indefinite since it is unclear what structure they add.

Regarding claim 16, --of-- should be inserted after "set" in line 5; "said", both occurrences, in line 14, should be -a-- since each set of arms has a first and second movement. Also "said" in lines 16 and 17 should be --its--. Also the phrases "disk-like" and "tong-like" render the claim indefinite since it is unclear what structure they add. Further this claim appears inaccurate since the arms as disclosed are part of an FSS unit and not the transporters.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/810,361

Art Unit: 3652

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yamazaki, et al.

Regarding claim 2, note element 10 in the reference.

Regarding claim 4, the gripper in the reference can be stopped at numerous positions to pickup different sizes of articles.

Regarding claim 6, tips 12 in the reference are extensions.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki, et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,361

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

It would have been obvious to enclose the motor and shaft 10 in the reference in

a conventional housing to enhance safety.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Yamazaki, et al.

It would have been obvious to provide a sensor on the pick-up in Yamazaki in

view of the teaching in Lange (element 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Donald Underwood whose telephone number is 571-

272-6933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thursday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hemald Underwood 1109/05

Donald Underwood Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3652

110905